UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/751,903	12/28/2000	Scott Ryder	APLE.P0007	9127
62224 7590 12/19/2006 STATTLER, JOHANSEN, AND ADELI LLP 1875 CENTURY PARK EAST SUITE 1360 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067			EXAMINER	
			KANG, PAUL H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,,		2144	
				• .
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/19/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/751,903	RYDER, SCOTT	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul H. Kang	2144	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Section 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Example 2 or 2 o	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) □ Claim(s) 1-8,10-21,23 and 24 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) 1-8,12-18 and 23 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 19 and 24 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 20 and 21 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 28 December 2000 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 09/751,903 Page 2

Art Unit: 2144

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 1-8, 12-16 and 23 allowed. Claims 20 and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 10 and 11 depend on previously canceled claim 9. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abdelnur et al. (US Patent No. 6,212,640 and Abdelnur hereinafter) in view of Mastrianni et al., US Patent No. 6,615,276 B1.

4. As per claims 19 and 24, Abdelnur teaches a system and apparatus for automatically

mounting volumes over a network, said system comprising:

a client configured to request said mounting of said volumes (Abdelnur, col. 6, lines 16-

21); and

a server coupled to said client, said server configured to return a mounting command

block or mounting parameters to said client (a new entry is generated in the mounting table to

enable user to locate the resource; Abdelnur, col. 6, lines 7-21);

said client utilizing said returned mounting command block or mounting parameters to

mount said volumes (Abdelnur, col. 6, lines 7-21).

However, Abdelnur does not explicitly teach the method comprising a client configured

to automatically request said mounting of said volumes without the need for a user of said client

to request said mounting of said volumes. In the same field of endeavor, Mastrianni teaches a

system and method for automatically mapping a network drive without user input (Mastrianni,

col. 7, lines 31-36).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to have incorporated the automatic mounting as taught by Mastrianni, into

the mounting system of Abdelnur since automation is desirable to alleviate user's manual

connection requests.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 19, 2006 have been fully considered but they are

not persuasive. Applicants argues "in Abdelnur the NFS mounts the volumes. The NFS system is

Application/Control Number: 09/751,903

Art Unit: 2144

used by an application to make a request for a procedure to be performed and the results to be returned. ... The NFS method disclosed in Abdelnur generates the results and returns it to the client as opposed to the method of claim 1 in which the server returns a set of mounting parameters."

While it is true that Abdelnur enables network resources to be physically linked and therefore a procedure performed and results returned to the user, this is process after the mounting has been performed. When a new resource is to be mounted, the system of Abdelnur generates a new entry in the mount tables. This information is forwarded to requesting clients to enable mounting to the network resource. See Abdelnur, col. 5, line 65 – col. 6, line 21.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/751,903

examiner can normally be reached on 9 hour flex. First Friday.

Art Unit: 2144

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul H. Kang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3882. The

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Vaughn can be reached on (571) 272-3922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PAUL H. KANG PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5